Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: DR. KOUSHIK PAUL Designation: Asst. Professor

Department: CIVIL & ENV. ENGG. Programme: B.E.

Semester: 4th Session: SP/17

Course Code: CE4005 Course Title: TRANSPORTATION ENGG-I

Number of times taught: 4

Mobile: 9470520271 E-mail: koushik_p77@yahoo.co.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
If yes,please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments:

.....

.....

.....

.....

Koushik Paul

Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: DR. KOUSHIK PAUL Designation: Asst. Professor

Department: CIVIL & ENV. ENGG. Programme: BE

Semester: 4th Session: SP/17

Course Code: CE4004 Course Title: FLUID MECHANICS - I LAB.

Number of times taught: 01

Mobile: 9470520271 E-mail: koushik_p77@yahoo.co.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No

If yes,please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments:

.....

.....

.....

.....

Koushik Paul

Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: DR. KOUSHIK PAUL Designation: Asst. Professor

Department: CIVIL & ENV. ENGG. Programme: BE

Semester: 6th Session: SP/17

Course Code: CE 6002 Course Title: Surveying – II (Sessional)

Number of times taught: 02

Mobile: 9470520271 E-mail: koushik_p77@yahoo.co.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No

If yes,please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments:

.....

.....

.....

.....

Koushik Paul

Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.

Teacher's Feedback on Course

Name: DR. KOUSHIK PAUL Designation: Asst. Professor

Department: DEPT. OF CIVL & ENV. ENGG/ ARCH ✓ Programme: B.ARCH

Semester: 4th Session: SP/17

Course Code: AR4308 Course Title: SURVEYING (Sessional)

Number of times taught: 3

Mobile: 9470520271 E-mail: koushik_p77@yahoo.co.in

Put corresponding levels of satisfaction in terms of numbers in the cell for every statement

Strongly agree = 4	Agree = 3	Neither agree nor disagree = 2	Disagree = 1	Strongly disagree = 0

S. No.	Statements	Marks
1.	The course objectives are clearly defined and incorporated in the syllabus properly.	4
2.	The syllabus is able to achieve course outcomes.	4
3.	The syllabus is suitable to the course and programme.	4
4.	The syllabus of the course is as per Industrial/Academic needs.	4
5.	The syllabus is a balanced combination of fundamental and applied knowledge.	4
6.	The time allotted to various module is appropriate and teacher has enough time for discussion.	4
7.	Structure of the syllabus provides space for free and open discussion.	4
8.	Books related to the course are available in the library.	4
9.	Teachers are satisfied with examination and evaluation pattern.	4
10.	The course is well aligned with similar courses in other Institutes/Universities.	4
11.	The course is designed such that teachers taught it by using ICT tools.	4
12.	The course is useful in the preparation of NET/GATE/JEST or similar competitive examination.	4

Do you have any suggestion regarding the improvement of the course: Yes/ No
If yes,please mention the module and suggestions

No

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

Any other specific comments:

.....

.....

.....

.....

Koushik Paul

Signature of the Teacher

Note: In case of 'Disagree' or 'Strongly disagree' kindly make comments. This will help us to improve the course content.